Templating Shuffles

Qizhen Zhang^{1,2,3}, Jiacheng Wu⁴, Ang Chen⁵, Vincent Liu¹, <u>Boon Thau Loo¹</u>

¹University of Pennsylvania, ²Microsoft Research, ³University of Toronto, ⁴University of Washington, ⁵Rice University

General Structure of Large-scale Analytics

- Compute
 - Distributed workers process local shards of data independently
- Combine (optional)
 - Preliminary results are locally processed before data exchange
- Compute Compute Compute Combine Combine Combine Shuffle Compute Compute

- Shuffle
 - Resharding and transmitting data for the next phase of processing

Shuffle as Critical Component

- Encompasses CPU, bandwidth, and latency overhead
 - Compression, serialization, message processing, transmission, etc.
- A rich history of tuning shuffle for efficient data analytics
 - DBMSs, MapReduce, and graph processing
- A primary bottleneck in emerging cloud platforms
 - Serverless and disaggregated memory/storage



Challenges in Optimizing Shuffle

- Dependent on workloads
 - Disk activities, combinable, aggregable, data redundancy...
- Dependent on data center architecture
 - CPU performance, network bandwidth, communication locality across machines...
- Must adapt to changes
 - Data center topology updates caused by network failures
 - Disaggregated compute, memory, and storage
 - Next-generation data center network designs are increasingly complicated

TeShu: A Templated Shuffle Layer

- Adapts to workload and data center infrastructure changes
- Easily supports existing data analytics systems and enables future ones
- Users **implement** shuffle primitives as **templates** with unknown characteristics of workloads and infrastructure as parameters
- Data analytics systems **instantiate templates** by populating parameters



Outline

- Motivation & TeShu Vision
- TeShu Design
- Expressiveness
- Evaluation
- Future Directions

Architecture

Applications invoke shuffle API and instantiate templates to plans Shuffle Manager stores and serves templates



A plan can sample messages to measure the efficiency of a particular shuffle strategy for adaptiveness

Shuffle Templates

• Python-like programs with parameters below

Template parameter	Description	_
SEND(dst, msg) RECV(src) FETCH(src)	nmunication (supporting both pull and push) I by framework-native communication libraries	
PART(msgs, srcs, p COMB(msgs, combFun Populated b SAMP(msgs, rate, p	Partitioning, combing, and sampling y shuffle arguments and our sampling approach	

• Example: vanilla shuffling (pull mode)

Sender template:	Receiver template:
PART(bufs, dsts, partFunc)	<pre>for s in srcs: bufs[n] = FETCH(n)</pre>

Shuffle API

• Shuffles are instances of concurrent communication between a fixed set of sources and destinations

IDs of worker, template, and shuffle call

Sources, destinations, and data buffers

 shuffle(wId, templateId, shuffleId, srcs, dsts, bufs, partFunc, combFunc)

Functions for data partitioning and combining (optional)

- Partition function maps a piece of data to a destination worker
- Combine function merges two pieces of data into one
- These arguments are used to populate template parameters

Shuffle Management

- Involves Shuffle Manager and the application
 - System operators implement shuffle templates that are stored in Shuffle Manager
 - Application invokes shuffle API, instantiates templates into plans, and caches them



Outline

- Motivation & TeShu Vision
- TeShu Design
- Expressiveness
- Evaluation
- Future Directions

Implementing Existing Shuffle Algorithms

• TeShu can express vanilla shuffling and existing shuffle optimizations in a few lines of code

Shuffle algorithm	Pattern	LoC
Vanilla shuffling	Push/pull	5
Coordinated shuffling [CIDR '13]	Pull	9
Bruck shuffling [IJHPCA '05]	Push	11
Two-level exchange [SIGMOD '20]	Push	18

Adaptive Shuffling

Sampling-enabled data center network-aware shuffle optimization



A local shuffle at each level

- Pros: reduced traffic at next level by combining (benefit oversubscribed networks)
- Cons: additional shuffling and combining overhead
- Need to compare the benefit of traffic reduction and the overhead

Measure through data sampling

COMB(bufs, combFunc) 2 sNbrs = \$FIND_NBRS_PER_SERVER(wId, srcs) 3 sSampMsgs = <u>SAMP(bufs, \$RATE, partFunc)</u> 4 (S_EFF, S_COST) = \$COMPUTE_EFF_COST(sSampMsgs) 5 if S_EFF > S_COST: sPartMsgs = PART(bufs, sNbrs, partFunc) for n in sNbrs: SEND(n, sPartMsgs[n]) sPartMsgs[n] = RECV(n)bufs = COMB(sPartMsgs, combFunc) rNbrs = \$FIND_NBRS_PER_RACK(wId, srcs) 12 rSampMsgs = <u>SAMP(bufs, \$RATE, partFunc)</u> 13 (R_EFF, R_COST) = \$COMPUTE_EFF_COST(rSampMsgs) 14 if $R_EFF > R_COST$: rPartMsgs = PART(bufs, rNbrs, partFunc) for n in rNbrs: SEND(n, rPartMsgs[n]) rPartMsgs[n] = RECV(n)bufs = COMB(rPartMsgs, combFunc) partMsgs = PART(bufs, dsts, partFunc) <mark>for</mark> d in dsts: SEND(d, partMsgs[d])

Data Sampling

- Selects a subset of shuffle data from each worker based on sampling rate
- Baseline: random sampling, which is inaccurate with real data when sampling rate is kept low
- Partition-aware sampling: samples data according to the destinations to evaluate reduction rate when combiner is applied
 - Divides destination space into S buckets (S is calculated by sampling rate)
 - Allocates each piece of data into one of the buckets based on its destination
 - Selects Bucket j for sampling (j is randomly selected and consistent across workers)



Outline

- Motivation & TeShu Vision
- TeShu Design
- Expressiveness
- Evaluation
- Future Directions

Evaluation Setup

- Cluster: 2 racks of 10 servers, each with 16 cores @2.6GHz, 128 GB memory, and 10 Gbps NIC
- Network: oversubscription of inter-rack network varies (10:1, 4:1, 1:1)
- Software: Pregel+ for graph analytics
- Queries: PageRank and single source shortest path
- Datasets: UK-Web (3.7 billion edges) and Friendster (3.6 billion edges)

Sampling Performance

• Duplication estimation on shuffled data with typical workloads

Sampling rate	Ground truth	Partaware sampling	Random sampling
0.9	0.1833	0.1833	0.1986
0.1	0.1833	0.1833	0.7241
0.01	0.1833	0.1832	0.9622
0.001	0.1833	0.1829	0.9965
0.0001	0.1833	0.1838	0.9997

• Sampling overhead (execution time)



Adaptive Shuffling Performance

- Compared to vanilla shuffling, adaptive shuffling speeds up queries from 3.9× to 14.7× by eliminating most of the communication cost
- Across network oversubscription scenarios, adaptive shuffling always identifies the optimal shuffling strategy

	PR-UK	PR-FR	SSSP-UK	SSSP-FR
	Oversubscription Ratio = 10:1			
Execution Speedup	$14.7 \times$	9.4×	6.1×	7.1×
Communication Saving	87.7%	89.8%	84.6%	84.3%
Shuffle Decision	S,R,G	S,R,G	S,R,G	S,R,G
	Oversubscription Ratio = 4:1			
Execution Speedup	9.4×	5.6×	$5.2 \times$	6.2×
Communication Saving	85.5%	85.9%	81.6%	79.3%
Shuffle Decision	S,R,G	S,R,G	S,R,G	S,R,G
	Oversubscription Ratio = 1:1			
Execution Speedup	7.7×	3.9×	$4.8 \times$	$4.8 \times$
Communication Saving	80.7%	76.4%	75%	66.8%
Shuffle Decision	S,G	S,G	S,G	S,G

Outline

- Motivation & TeShu Vision
- TeShu Design
- Expressiveness
- Evaluation
- Future Directions

Future Directions

- **Co-scheduling shuffles** to achieve shorter flow completion times and thus improve application performance
- Handling failures and stragglers with shuffle records
- Integrating with in-network techniques to apply combining and sampling in the network to further improve performance
- Templating shuffles for future data centers, e.g., data movement between disaggregated resource components



- Tuning shuffle for large-scale data analytics is necessary but challenging and requires adaptiveness and portability
- TeShu provides a simple and expressive shuffle abstraction and offers it as a general layer to benefit various data analytics systems
- Shuffle templates and efficient sampling in TeShu enable portable and adaptive shuffle optimizations
- More aspects to be investigated and opportunities to be explored

Backup Slides

Related Work

- Riffle [EuroSys '18]
 - External shuffle service in Spark developed by Facebook
 - Merges small files to reduce random disk I/O
- Magnet [VLDB '20]
 - Optimized shuffle service in Spark developed by LinkedIn
 - Pushes shuffle data from mappers to reducers to pre-merge intermediate results before the reduce stage
- Remote Shuffle Service (RSS)
 - Spark shuffle service with dedicated remote shuffle servers developed by Uber
 - Separates shuffle data from mappers to improve reliability and scalability
- Exoshuffle
 - Shuffle layer for MapReduce in Ray that easily supports state-of-the-art shuffle optimizations and enables pipelined shuffles

More Related Work

- Optimizing shuffle for graph processing
- Optimizing shuffle for machine learning
- Optimizing the exchange operator in DBMSs